

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,133	0	3/24/2004	Jean-Luc Perillon	1759.157	4558	
23405	7590	09/21/2006		EXAMINER		
		ERG FARLEY &	RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA			
5 COLUMB ALBANY, 1				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1771		
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		مغرمين	, ₄
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,133	PERILLON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ula C. Ruddock	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL 136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te. cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/20	<u>0/2006</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , ,	aceived	
oce the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	scerveu.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/808,133 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 20, 2006, has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

 The phrase "said varnish layer being a non-ink layer" is new matter, because this negative limitation is not literally supported by the specification. Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393. In the specification, there is no mention of having a varnish layer with no ink. Applicant further claims "said adhesive layer remaining repositionable when cured." There is no support for this limitation in

Art Unit: 1771

the specification. These limitations are considered new matter and amendments to the claims are required to delete these new matter limitations.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray et al. (US 5,707,904) in view of Crouch et al. (US 5,895,705) and Paquette et al. (US 5,229,207).

Gray et al. disclose a fabric for use as an awning comprising a woven scrim and a translucent coating on at least one side of the scrim (col 1, ln 53-54). The translucent coating is preferably plastisol and the scrim is formed of woven polyester yarns (col 1, ln 60-65). As seen in Figure 2, the scrim (reference point 30) is coated with an upper plastisol layer (reference point 20) and a lower plastisol layer (reference point 14). The plastisol layer is preferably a vinyl plastisol including a PVC resin and plasticizers (col 3, ln 1-4). A release paper (reference point 12) is also included in the fabric laminate. With regard to Applicant's "capable of" limitations, it has been held that a recitation that en element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138. Gray et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the teaching that the laminate comprises a varnish layer and a repositionable pressure sensitive adhesive layer.

Crouch et al. (US 5,895,705) disclose an awning fabric comprising a varnish, i.e. acrylic resin, that is used in the ink, which further contains pigments (col 3, ln 24-33). The varnish is on the surface of a PVC plastisol coating layer that coats the fabric (col 3, ln 39-40).

Paquette et al. (US 5,229,207) disclose a film composite comprising a substrate useful in awnings (col 2, ln 7-9) and further comprising a layer of adhesive which is pressure sensitive (col 1, ln 68) and affords good repositionability (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated Crouch's varnish layer in the laminate of Gray et al. and Paquette, motivated by the desire to create a laminate that has ease of printing and has increased stiffness.

It also would have been obvious to have incorporated Paquette's repositionable pressure sensitive adhesive in the laminate of Gray et al. and Crouch, motivated by the desire to create laminate that has ease of handling and maneuverability.

Regarding claim 10, although Gray et al., Crouch et al., and Paquette et al. do not explicitly teach the claimed adhesive force, it is reasonable to presume that this property is inherent to the invention of Gray et al., Crouch et al., and Paquette et al. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. scrim coated on both sides with a PVC plastisol, a varnish one side, a repositionable pressure sensitive adhesive on the other side, and release paper). The burden is upon Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of a pressure sensitive adhesive layer possessing an adhesive force between 1 and 100 Newton, for a strip with a width of 5 cm, would obviously have been present once the Gray et al., Crouch et al., and Paquette et al. product is provided. Note *In re Best*, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,133 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed July 20, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that Crouch's varnish layer is not printable nor is there an ink layer deposited thereon. This argument is not persuasive because Crouch specifically discloses printing at column 2, lines 20-22. Crouch further discloses that the ink layer comprises pigments and varnish at column 3, lines 24-26. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,133

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

UCR

Wa Ruddork

Frimary Examiner

Joh Center 1700

Page 6